

why I am so strongly in support of this bill.

I thank the Finance Committee for working out a compromise that will mean more trade, that will mean more products. I have to say I do not understand how, with a straight face, the textile industry was so adamantly opposed to this bill. If we unleashed all of the energies of sub-Saharan Africa and all of their productive capacity and had them produce textiles to sell in America, they would still have no substantial impact on our market.

I do not understand why we continue to let special interests in America direct our Government to limit our ability to buy goods that would raise the living standards of working Americans. It is outrageous and unfair, and it is important that we stand up against these protectionist forces. Who gives the American textile industry the right to say that, as a free person, I cannot buy a better shirt or a cheaper shirt produced somewhere else in the world? How is America diminished by it? I say it is not. My freedom is diminished by such forces.

We have a mixture of protectionism and trade in this bill. But, overall, it is a movement in the right direction, and I am in favor of it. When the Multifiber Agreement is implemented, we will open up trade in textiles. As late as 5 years ago, the average American family paid \$700 more a year for clothing because of textile protection in America than they would with free trade. This is a small step in the right direction. I rejoice in it, and I support it.

I thank the Senator from California for yielding.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I notice that the distinguished Senator from Alabama is on the floor. So I ask unanimous consent to yield to him, and then to have the floor returned to me when he concludes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

VISIT TO THE SENATE BY A MEMBER OF THE HOUSE OF DEPUTIES OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF MEXICO

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, it is my pleasure to present to the Senate today Alfredo Phillips, who is a member of the Congress of the Nation of Mexico. I have gotten to know him in 3 years now at the interparliamentary conference between the United States and Mexico. We have had 39 years of interparliamentary conferences between our two nations. He has an extraordinary history in banking.

He was Director of the North American Development Bank, which is part of the NAFTA agreement. He has been Executive Director of the International Money Fund for 4 years. He is General Coordinator of International Affairs of the PRI. That is his title now. He was Mexico's Ambassador to Canada, Am-

bassador to Japan, and chairs the Foreign Relations Commission for the Congress of Mexico.

He got his degree in humanities from the University of Mexico and his degree in economics from the University of London. He studied at George Washington University. His wife Maureen is a wonderful lady who my wife Mary and I have had the pleasure to meet. His son Alfredo is in an economics section of the Mexican Embassy here in the United States.

Mr. President, it is my pleasure to introduce Mr. Alfredo Phillips to this body. He is known to many of our Senators and Congressmen.

RECESS

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate stand in recess for 3 minutes, before Senator FEINSTEIN takes the floor again, in order for the Senate to greet our guest.

There being no objection, at 11:57 a.m., the Senate recessed until 12:03 p.m.; whereupon, the Senate reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. BURNS).

TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2000—CONFERENCE REPORT—Continued

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when Senator FEINSTEIN has finished speaking, Senator FEINGOLD be able to consume his time for debate on this bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from California.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I rise today to address the conference report on the African Growth and Opportunity Act and to express my deep disappointment that the conference decided to strip out of the report the amendment which has been spoken about on this floor which addresses HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. This is an amendment I offered with the Senator from Wisconsin, Mr. FEINGOLD.

This amendment was accepted by the Senate, and it was intended to provide African countries experiencing an HIV/AIDS crisis with the ability to institute measures consistent with the World Trade Organization intellectual property rules that are designed to ensure the distribution of pharmaceuticals and medical technology to afflicted populations.

We offered this amendment because we believed the act inadvertently threatened to undermine the fight against HIV/AIDS in Africa. Our amendment was a simple, common-sense approach consistent with international law to fix this oversight. I believe the action of the conference in stripping this amendment was unconscionable. I found it especially disappointing because my office and staff had been working with the chairman of

the Finance Committee, Mr. ROTH, to develop compromise language that met our concerns and would be acceptable to the conference.

Chairman ROTH negotiated in good faith, and he and the other Senate conferees—Mr. MOYNIHAN, Mr. BIDEN, and Mr. BAUCUS—wanted to do the right thing. Unfortunately, as I understand it, because of the way in which the House and Senate Republican leadership dealt with this conference, the majority leader and the Speaker, as I have been told, decided my amendment was to be eliminated and presented a take-it-or-leave-it offer to the conferees. The conference was never really even given a chance to address this issue.

Perhaps they did not understand the full impact of what is happening in Africa, and in these remarks I hope to make both the extent and the nature of the AIDS crisis better known. I say this as someone who supports the legislation. I voted in favor of it. I believe the underlying principles of this legislation—opening up new possibilities for economic engagement and trade between the United States and the countries of sub-Saharan Africa—are good ones. I know the countries of this region want to receive the benefits of the bill which will assist their economic development and promote democracy in the region.

I said in earlier remarks the problem is that the way things are going, there will not be an Africa left for this bill to help. I think people underestimate the impact of that statement. What I hope to do in these remarks is talk about the scope of the problem, give specific country reports, talk about the economic, social, and political impact of HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa, the need for affordable access to pharmaceuticals, what compulsory licensing and parallel importing is, and why the Feinstein-Feingold amendment is necessary.

I want to talk about drug companies' revenues from these drugs and what else is to be done.

But before I do so, I acknowledge the fact that this morning the White House has signed an Executive order to carry out the provisions of the Feinstein-Feingold amendment.

At this point, I will read into the RECORD the following letter, dated May 10:

I am pleased to inform you that today I will sign an Executive Order that is intended to help make HIV/AIDS-related drugs and medical technologies more accessible and affordable in beneficiary sub-Saharan African countries. The Executive Order, which is based in large part on your work in connection with the proposed Trade and Development Act of 2000, formalizes U.S. government policy in this area. It also directs other steps to be taken to address the spread of HIV and AIDS in Africa, one of the worse health crises the world faces.

As you know, the worldwide HIV/AIDS epidemic has taken a terrible toll in terms of human suffering. Nowhere has the suffering been as great as in Africa, where over 5,500